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## Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study
$T=295 \mathrm{~K}$
Mean $\sigma(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C})=0.009 \AA$
$R$ factor $=0.058$
$w R$ factor $=0.152$
Data-to-parameter ratio $=15.2$

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.
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## 3-Ferrocenyl-5-methyl-1H-pyrazole

The title compound, $\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)\right]$, has four molecules in the asymmetric unit and exists in the form of an $R_{4}^{4}(12)$ tetramer as tautomeric 3-ferrocenyl-5-methyl-1 H -pyrazole and 5-ferrocenyl-3-methyl-1 $H$-pyrazole (3:1). In a unit cell, two tetramers are linked by $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ hydrogen bonds involving the cyclopentadienyl rings as acceptors into a double chain in the [010] direction.

## Comment

Pyrazole compounds have important applications in coordination chemistry (Chakrabarty et al., 2004; Davies et al., 2005; Hardie et al., 2004; Michaud et al., 2005), supramolecular chemistry (Miranda et al., 2005) and organometallic chemistry (Esquius et al., 2001; Reger et al., 1996). They have proven to be useful as potential anti-inflammatory agents (Bruno et al., 1993), cytotoxic agents (Cuadro et al., 1985), insecticides (Tsuboi et al., 1994), herbicides (Hartfiel et al., 1993) and fungicides (Desbordes et al., 1994). We have therefore initiated a systematic study on organometallic pyrazoles. The title compound, (I), was synthesized as an intermediate for $N$-acyl organometallic pyrazoles (Shi, Sui \& Zhu, 2006; Shi, Zhu \& Sui, 2006) and its crystal structure has been determined (Fig. 1).


The title compound crystallizes in the centrosymmetric space group $P \overline{1}$ with $Z=8$. Four discrete molecules [(I $a),(\mathrm{I} b)$,
$\qquad$


Figure 1
The asymmetric unit of (I), showing the formation of a tetramer linked by $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ hydrogen bonds. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $20 \%$ probability level and H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii. Hydrogen bonds are drawn as dashed lines.


Figure 2
Part of the crystal structure of (I), showing two tetramers linked by C$\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ (arene) hydrogen bonds. For clarity, H atoms not involved in the motif shown have been omitted. $C g 1$ is the centroid of the $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 4$ ring and $C g 10$ is the centroid of the $\mathrm{N} 7-\mathrm{C} 46$ ring. $C g 1^{*}$ and $C g 10^{*}$ are at the symmetry position $(1-x, 1-y, 1-z)$.
( $\mathrm{I} c)$ and ( $\mathrm{I} d$ )], which belong to tautomeric 5-ferrocenyl-3-methyl-1H-pyrazole and 3-ferrocenyl-5-methyl- 1 H -pyrazole (1:3), exist in the asymmetric unit of (I) whereas its analog (II), 3-methyl-5-phenyl-1H-pyrazole, crystallizes in the centrosymmetric space group $C 2 / c$ with $Z=16$ and the asymmetric unit contains two molecules [(II $a$ ) and (IIb); Maslen et al., 1974].

The bond lengths in the pyrazole ring in each of (I) are very close to those in each of (II) except that the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}$ bond length
in each of (I) is slightly shorter than that in each of (II) [Table 1; (II $a$ ) 1.367 (5) $\AA$ and (II $b$ ) 1.363 (5) $\AA$ ]. As in (II), each pyrazole ring in (I) exhibits electron delocalization (Shi et al., 2005). However, the dihedral angle between the pyrazole ring and the corresponding substituted cyclopentadienyl ring or phenyl ring is different. The dihedral angle is $12.9(3)^{\circ}$ for ( $\mathrm{I} a$ ), $2.4(3)^{\circ}$ for ( $\left.\mathrm{I} b\right), 32.0(3)^{\circ}$ for (Ic) and $25.8(3)^{\circ}$ for ( $\mathrm{I} d$ ); the corresponding value is $21.61^{\circ}$ for (II $a$ ) and $18.06^{\circ}$ for (II $b$ ). Furthermore, the bond linking the pyrazole ring and the corresponding substituted cyclopentadienyl ring in each of (I) is in the range 1.453 (6)-1.462 (6) $\AA$, suggesting that the pyrazole ring and the corresponding substituted cyclopentadienyl ring are conjugated, while the bond linking the pyrazole ring and the benzene ring is 1.474 (7) $\AA$ for (II $a$ ) and 1.457 (6) $\AA$ for (II $b$ ), indicating that the pyrazole ring and the benzene ring in (IIb) are conjugated.

As in (II), the title compound exists in the form of a tetramer (Fig. 2). Each of four molecules in (I) in the asymmetric unit acts as both a donor and an acceptor of hydrogen bonds, generating an $R_{4}^{4}(12)$ tetramer by $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ hydrogen bonds (Table 2). The tetramer is linked by $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ hydrogen bonds involving the cyclopentadienyl rings as acceptors into a [010] chain. Two chains of this type pass through each unit cell and are also linked by $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ hydrogen bonds in which the pyrazoles act as acceptors, thus leading to a [010] double chain (Fig. 2).

## Experimental

An ethanol solution ( 20 ml ) of ferrocenoylacetone and hydrated hydrazine (1:1) was stirred for 7 h under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The resulting residue was collected and air-dried to afford the yellow title compound (yield $92 \%$; m.p. $441.15-442.15 \mathrm{~K}$ ). Analysis calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{FeN}_{2}$ : C 63.19, H 5.30 , N $10.53 \%$; found: C 62.97, H 5.18, N $10.83 \%$. IR (KBr): 3179 ( vs, NH), 3081 ( vs, CH), $1587(s, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}), 1290(m, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. UV ( $\lambda_{\text {max }}$, in DMF): 275.00 (B band), 361.00 ( $R$ band), 455.50 ( $d-d$ band) nm. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 9.946(1 \mathrm{H}, s, \mathrm{NH}), 6.089$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, s, \mathrm{CH}), 4.591,4.267\left(2 \mathrm{H}, s, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 s, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.079\left(5 \mathrm{H}, s, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, $2.317\left(3 \mathrm{H}, s, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

## Crystal data

$\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)\right]$
$M_{r}=266.12$
Triclinic, $P \overline{1}$
$a=11.533(2) \AA$
$b=13.375(3) \AA$
$c=17.109(3) \AA$
$\alpha=70.99(3)^{\circ}$
$\beta=78.50(3)^{\circ}$
$\gamma=86.16(3)^{\circ}$
$V=2445.1(10) \AA^{3}$
$Z=8$
$D_{x}=1.446 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
$\mu=1.21 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=295 \mathrm{~K}$
Prism, orange-yellow
$0.40 \times 0.20 \times 0.10 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Data collection

[^1]9581 independent reflections
6355 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.031$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=26.0^{\circ}$
3 standard reflections every 200 reflections intensity decay: none

## Refinement

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
w= & 1 /[
\end{array} \sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0702 P)^{2}\right)
$$

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.058$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.152$
$S=1.04$
9581 reflections
629 parameters
H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

Table 1
Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$.

| N1-N2 | $1.362(5)$ | N5-N6 | $1.355(5)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N1-C2 | $1.331(6)$ | N5-C30 | $1.346(6)$ |
| N2-C4 | $1.357(5)$ | N6-C32 | $1.341(5)$ |
| C1-C2 | $1.493(7)$ | C29-C30 | $1.487(6)$ |
| C2-C3 | $1.401(6)$ | C30-C31 | $1.369(6)$ |
| C3-C4 | $1.372(6)$ | C31-C32 | $1.394(6)$ |
| C4-C5 | $1.453(6)$ | C32-C33 | $1.462(6)$ |
| N3-N4 | $1.350(5)$ | N7-N8 | $1.347(5)$ |
| N3-C16 | $1.325(6)$ | N7-C44 | $1.349(5)$ |
| N4-C18 | $1.339(5)$ | N8-C46 | $1.345(5)$ |
| C15-C16 | $1.491(6)$ | C43-C44 | $1.496(6)$ |
| C16-C17 | $1.380(6)$ | C44-C45 | $1.370(6)$ |
| C17-C18 | $1.391(6)$ | C45-C46 | $1.394(6)$ |
| C18-C19 | $1.462(6)$ | C46-C47 | $1.458(6)$ |

Table 2
Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\mathrm{A},{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~N} \cdots \mathrm{~N} 4$ | 0.84 (5) | 2.07 (5) | 2.896 (5) | 168 (5) |
| N3-H3N $\cdots$ N8 | 0.85 (7) | 1.99 (7) | 2.812 (6) | 165 (7) |
| N5-H5N $\cdots$ N1 | 0.83 (7) | 2.11 (7) | 2.900 (5) | 160 (7) |
| N7-H7N $\cdots$ N6 | 0.88 (7) | 2.06 (6) | 2.915 (5) | 166 (6) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 B \cdots \mathrm{Cg} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.96 | 3.00 | 3.554 (6) | 118 |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 B \cdots \mathrm{Cg} 10^{\text {i }}$ | 0.96 | 2.98 | 3.496 (6) | 115 |
| $\mathrm{C} 51-\mathrm{H} 51 \cdots \mathrm{Cg} 3{ }^{\text {ii }}$ | 0.93 | 2.86 | 3.674 (6) | 146 |

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1,-y+1,-z+1$; (ii) $x, y-1, z . C g 1$ is the centroid of the N1-C4 ring, Cg3 is the centroid of the C10-C14 ring and Cg10 is the centroid of the N7C46 ring.

Four H atoms bonded to N atoms were located in difference maps and refined isotropically, with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{N})$. All other H atoms were placed at geometrically idealized positions, with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.93-$ $0.98 \AA$, and refined using a riding model, with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})$ or $1.5 U_{\text {eq }}$ (methyl C).

Data collection: CAD-4 Software (Enraf-Nonius, 1989); cell refinement: CAD-4 Software; data reduction: XCAD4 (Harms \& Wocadlo, 1995); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: PLATON (Spek, 2003); software used to prepare material for publication: PLATON.
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[^1]:    Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer $\omega / 2 \theta$ scans
    Absorption correction: $\psi$ scan (North et al., 1968)
    $T_{\text {min }}=0.743, T_{\text {max }}=0.882$
    10086 measured reflections

